Faculty Senate Agenda April 6, 2018
11:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m.

## Room: Modular Building $A$, room 1B

Call to Order
In attendance: Amy Porter, Jenny Wilson, Lorrie Webb, Caroline O'Quinn, Ann Bliss, Holly Verhasselt, Marina Narvaez, Megan Wise de Valdez, Cory Ross, Katie Bridgman, Francis Galan, Andrew Sanders, Deirdre McDonald, Lawrence Scott, Dan Glaser, Bob Shelton, Suhko Lee, Robert Vinaja, Gilbert Barrera, Rodolfo Valdez
Online: Keming Li, Lydia Gertzel Short
Approval of Minutes- Bliss makes motion. Approved.
Administrative Updates-
Terms-Looked at terms and had all those in term for Faculty Senate. Senators are asked to initial to approve the list with their current terms so htat nominations can be sought for open Senate seats for next year.

Dr. Verhasselt - Schedule for final exams: Used feedback to make a new final exam schedule and got it out early so that people could make their concerns known. Galan says that it was a lot cleaner because there weren't room changes. Porter notes that no one wants to go back to the old time frame because there are nationally required times for exams In some fields. Feedback from spring was localized and not big problems. The exam schedule is currently up. The schedule is done through computer and then tested with random students to see that it works. If there are scheduling problems, usually it is because courses are hybrid. Time bands make it difficult, but those are being worked through individually. Rodolfo mentions that there might be performance issues when exams are long. How can we find ways that the instructor can make decisions about what is best for students and the community we work with? Glaser: Our exams should be more sample than census. Verhasselt says that professors should have choice in the amount of time that they use of the 2 hours. Porter asks if there is a policy that says students can petition if they have more than 2 or 3 exams. She will check on this policy. Wiseman responds that there are needs in programs that need to release and hide classes as needed. For the Fall 2018 schedule, Jane Mimms, projected how many sections of courses would be needed. There are hidden sections currently to add as needed and space has been reserved. It will be
monitored to open sections as needed. Issues seem to be advising and department chairs, but it needs to be told to all faculty and repeated many times as faculty did not know and communication needs to be consistent.

Power Hour with Verhasselt: April 17th at noon in the Vista Room: Insights concerning student engagement as noted through the National Survey in Student Engagement. Please GO!

## Executive Committee Updates-

Porter - Executive Report 4/6/2018
Take note of the announcement at the bottom of the agenda. The commissioner of the Higher Education Coordinating Board, Raymund Paredes, will visit our campus on June 15. He wants to meet with faculty from $11: 30 \mathrm{am}-12: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ to discuss our campus and the 60 by 30 initiative. Please accept the calendar invitation that went out recently and attend if possible. Also, encourage your colleagues to attend. It is important to have representation from different areas of the university.

To address one of our agenda items from the last meeting, Porter has a meeting scheduled with Dr. Matson soon, and I will discuss the bringing children to class issue. Porter has emailed both the Provost and Dr. Matson to introduce the issue.

Sanders: Field of Study Meeting in Austin: There are courses that are completely transferable from college to college. Each department should check to make sure that the transferrable conversation makes sense for your area. Porter will make more information available concerning the 60 by 30 initiative. Valdez: There are concerns that 4 years will not be possible for our untraditional students. We need to be careful that we are not just saying these things, but helping make the suggestions that make sense for us. Porter says that she will work to get the provost committee to come talk to Senate. UTEP might be one program that is looked at to see a program that is more similar to us.

Porter discussed another item from the last meeting with the Provost. This is the agenda item concerning defining titles and paths to promotion for lecturers. She gave the Provost the A\&M College of Liberal Arts document as the recommendation presented by Scott Gage. The Provost wants to work on this with the Deans and Chairs and then work with Senate, so Porter recommends that we see what they put together. It is on their immediate lists of tasks.

In addition, Porter had an email discussion with Lydia Harkey who is the Accessibility Director in IT. She noted that she has "been working with several departments to raise accessibility awareness and provide resources to build an accessibility program." She noted a few items on which she is working:

- Investing in BlackBoard Ally application that will scan online course contents for accessibility
- Distance Education team - working on developing training courses on creating accessible instructional materials such as 'How do I create accessible powerpoint, etc.' for faculty members
- Working with procurement and IT to build in accessibility review process of purchases
- Sending 5 staff and 1 faculty member (Theresa Garfield) to AccessU Conference in Austin on May 14-16
- Recruiting faculty members to participate in EIR Accessibility Workgroup"

If Faculty Senate wants her to come and speak with us at some point, she is willing. Porter will talk to her and set up a time to meet with departments.
Old Business
Program Coordinator description/responsibilities (Andrew
Sanders)- The following document is to be given to each
program. If you have a program coordinator role, fill it
in. If there is not a program coordinator, then the chair
can fill them out and send them to Sanders. Valdez says
that it is not only that the PCs should be filling this
form out, but also the provost. This would shed more light
on what the roles actually are. There are issues with
compensation. How are these roles housed in programs? Are
they a part of the chain of command? This should be sent
back to Senators.

PROGRAM COORDINATOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES



says Webb. Porter asks if Jag Tracks needs a closer link to Senate? Webb says to ask the committee but the entire JagTracks committee is faculty only. Porter has a concern that the program has gone through so many changes, and there are experiences that haven't been positive. How do we get the word out that there is constant work being done and revisions will make it better? Bliss says we need to have tenure track faculty teaching the courses. Webb agrees. Webb will provide the new syllabi for Faculty to see though the TownSquare.

Senate Elections (Porter)- Our last meeting is next month. At the May meeting, we will conclude business for the year (barring any emergency issues), and the newly-elected Senators will attend the meeting. We will need to conduct elections for the Executive Board for the upcoming year/s. If you are a returning Senator, consider nominating yourself for Vice President, Secretary or Parliamentarian. Jenny's term is ending, and Douglas has indicated that he does not want to be Parliamentarian again. If interested, please speak with Claire or me. The Senate Constitution describes the roles briefly, and I have written out a more detailed explanation of the officers' roles. At the end of the May meeting, Claire will take over as President. Also, the call for nominations for Senators will go out very soon. Please nominate colleagues (who are willing to serve). There will be one seat open in the Department of Arts and Humanities, one in Science and Math, one in Social Sciences, one in Marketing and Management, one in Curriculum and Instruction, two in Educator and Leadership Preparation, and one in the Library.

## Announcements

Visit from Raymund Paredes, Commissioner of Higher Education, June 15, 11:30 am-12:30 pm (Faculty meeting)

## Adjournment

